



Viva Energy Gas Terminal Project

Supplementary Statement

Acknowledgement of Country

Viva Energy acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and future Traditional Custodians and Elders of this nation and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. We particularly pay respects to the Traditional Custodians of the land, on which we conduct business here in Geelong, The Wadawurrung peoples of the Kulin Nation.

We also acknowledge our gratitude that we share this land today, our sorrow for the costs of that sharing and our hope and belief that we can move to a place of equity, justice and partnership together.

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	v	2.6.5	Community and interest groups	2-9
Glossary of Terms	x	2.6.6	Recreational users of Corio Bay	2-10
1.0 Introduction	1-1	2.6.7	Traditional Owners & Indigenous groups	2-10
1.1 Viva Energy Gas Terminal Project	1-2	2.6.8	Viva Energy workforce	2-11
1.1.1 Background	1-2	2.6.9	Wider community	2-11
1.1.2 Project objectives	1-4	2.7 Overview of feedback received	2-11	
1.1.3 Project description	1-4	2.7.1 Response to feedback	2-12	
1.1.4 Project location	1-7	2.8 Ongoing engagement	2-14	
1.2 Project delivery	1-8	3.0 Marine environment	3-1	
1.2.1 Proponent	1-8	3.1 Methodology	3-4	
1.2.2 Project timeline	1-9	3.1.1 Minister's Directions	3-4	
1.3 Supplementary Statement	1-11	3.1.2 Study area	3-6	
1.3.1 Requirement for a Supplementary Statement	1-11	3.2 Summary of the original marine EES study impact assessment	3-6	
1.3.2 Purpose of the Supplementary Statement	1-11	3.3 Outcomes of supplementary tasks	3-8	
1.3.3 Approach to the Supplementary Statement	1-13	3.3.1 Existing environment and impacts of existing wastewater discharges	3-8	
1.4 Supplementary Statement structure	1-16	3.3.2 Refining the regional hydrodynamic model	3-18	
2.0 Community and stakeholder engagement	2-1	3.3.3 Re-running wastewater discharge modelling	3-20	
2.1 Consultation requirements	2-2	3.3.4 Further investigations into the effects of existing chlorine discharges	3-27	
2.2 EES engagement and consultation activities	2-2	3.3.5 Refining entrainment predictions	3-28	
2.3 Pipelines Act 2005	2-3	3.3.6 Re-running the sediment transport modelling with revised inputs	3-31	
2.4 Approach	2-4	3.3.7 Further assessment of dredging impacts	3-34	
2.4.1 Engagement principles	2-4	3.3.8 Confirming EES conclusions regarding impacts of dredging	3-37	
2.4.2 Engagement phases	2-5	3.4 Integrated assessment	3-39	
2.5 Engagement tools	2-6	3.5 Mitigation measures	3-50	
2.6 Stakeholders	2-7	3.6 Conclusion	3-51	
2.6.1 Stakeholder identification for the Supplementary Statement	2-7			
2.6.2 Government	2-7			
2.6.3 Neighbours and local residents	2-8			
2.6.4 Business and industry	2-9			

4.0	Threatened and migratory birds	4-1	6.0	Noise	6-1
4.1	Methodology	4-4	6.1	Methodology	6-4
4.1.1	Minister's Directions	4-4	6.1.1	Minister's Directions	6-4
4.1.2	Study area	4-4	6.1.2	Study area	6-6
4.2	Summary of the original terrestrial ecology EES impact assessment	4-6	6.2	Summary of the original EES operational noise impact assessment	6-8
4.3	Outcomes of supplementary tasks	4-9	6.3	Outcomes of supplementary tasks	6-8
4.3.1	List of threatened and migratory bird species	4-9	6.3.1	Establish regulatory noise limits	6-8
4.3.2	Further analysis of EES shorebird survey data	4-10	6.3.2	Pre-existing and cumulative noise impacts	6-11
4.3.3	Consideration of the revised marine modelling	4-13	6.3.3	Project Noise Criteria	6-16
4.4	Integrated assessment	4-14	6.4	Integrated assessment	6-16
4.4.1	Project area (terrestrial)	4-14	6.5	Mitigation measures	6-17
4.4.2	Project area (marine)	4-14	6.6	Conclusion	6-18
4.4.3	Offsite environment	4-15	7.0	Underwater Aboriginal cultural archaeology	7-1
4.5	Mitigation measures	4-17	7.1	Methodology	7-4
4.6	Conclusion	4-17	7.1.1	Minister's Directions	7-4
5.0	Air quality	5-1	7.1.2	Study area	7-6
5.1	Methodology	5-3	7.2	Summary of the Aboriginal cultural heritage EES study	7-6
5.1.1	Minister's Directions	5-3	7.3	Outcomes of the underwater Aboriginal cultural archaeological assessment	7-9
5.1.2	Study Area	5-3	7.3.1	Climatology	7-9
5.2	Summary of the AQ EES study operation impact assessment	5-4	7.3.2	Terrestrial Aboriginal cultural archaeological sites in the vicinity of the activity area	7-11
5.3	Outcomes of supplementary tasks	5-5	7.3.3	Predictive Model	7-11
5.3.1	The significance of the wake effects of the FSRU	5-5	7.3.4	Cultural heritage sensitivity	7-14
5.3.2	A 'worst-case' scenario for air emissions	5-6	7.3.5	Impact assessment	7-15
5.3.3	The implication of bubble limits and stack specific limits for sensitive receptors	5-7	7.4	Peer review	7-18
5.4	Integrated assessment	5-9	7.5	Integrated assessment	7-18
5.5	Mitigation measures	5-9	7.6	Mitigation measures	7-19
5.6	Conclusion	5-10	7.7	Conclusion	7-19

8.0	Cultural values assessment	8-1	10.0	Conclusion	10-1
8.1	Methodology	8-3	10.1	Environmental matters to be assessed	10-1
8.1.1	Minister's Direction	8-3	10.2	Key findings	10-2
8.1.2	Study area	8-4	10.3	Integration of results with the original EES studies	10-14
8.2	Summary of the Aboriginal cultural heritage EES study	8-5	10.3.1	Marine environment	10-14
8.3	Update on the cultural values assessment	8-5	10.3.2	Threatened and migratory birds	10-15
8.4	Proposed approach to implementation of mitigation measures	8-5	10.3.3	Noise	10-16
8.5	Commitments	8-6	10.3.4	Air quality	10-16
8.6	Conclusion	8-6	10.3.5	Aboriginal cultural heritage	10-17
9.0	Environmental Management Framework	9-1	10.4	Responding to the key findings	10-18
9.1	Overview	9-1	10.4.1	Environmental Management Framework	10-18
9.2	Scoping requirements	9-2			
9.3	Statutory approvals and consents	9-4			
9.4	Roles and responsibilities	9-6			
9.5	Existing conditions	9-8			
9.6	Risk screening	9-8			
9.7	Impact assessment and mitigation measures	9-8			
9.7.1	Supplementary studies and mitigation measures	9-9			
9.8	Environmental management documentation	9-64			
9.9	Change management	9-66			
9.10	Assessing environmental compliance	9-66			
9.11	Monitoring	9-66			
9.12	Environmental reporting	9-77			
9.13	Audits	9-77			
9.14	Complaints management	9-77			
9.15	Contingency measures	9-77			

TABLE OF
CONTENTS

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK